

HOUSTON, TX 77002

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/033,028 12/28/2001 Richard E. Smalley 11321-P012USD13 1029 7590 05/28/2004 EXAMINER HUGH R. KRESS HENDRICKSON, STUART L WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2400 BANK ONE CENTER 910 TRAVOS STREET 1754

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	1 1 257	olicant(s) Smalley
	16/03301/	
	Examiner New Year	Group AM Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet benea	ath the correspondence address-
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	r to expire5	ONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set 	a reply within the statutory minimum of rult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the	f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. mailing date of this communication .
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31510	4	
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 		ion as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
✓ Claim(s)	and the second s	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) 165,167-169,115-495		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
		is/are allowed
X Claim(s) 163,164,166,170474		_ is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
26 Claim(s) 163-195	·	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	•	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		sapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob		
$\hfill \square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have b	een
☐ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	nber)	
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the 	nber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule	
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: 	nber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule	
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	nber) International Bureau (PCT Rule	1 7.2(a)).
□ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	nber)nternational Bureau (PCT Rule rule rule rule rule rule rule rule r	1 7.2(a)). iew Summary, PTO-413
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	nber)nternational Bureau (PCT Rule r r No(s) Intervi	1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,028

Art Unit: 1754

The election without traverse is noted.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 163, 164, 166, 170-174 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sen et al.

The reference teaches on pg. 495, 496 cut nanotubes. SWNTs are depicted, however the discussion is general and it is possible that the pictures are a simplification. Cutting SWNTs is an obvious expedient to gain the functionalization and subsequent reactivity/solubility desired. In so far as claims 173, 174 require anything beyond nanotubes, then using SWNTs in a battery/fuel cell is an obvious expedient to exploit their electrical properties.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754